being attached to the budget bill but some of those amendments ought to be attached. They are not, they will not be unattached because there is not enough concern. There may not be enough members. And in closing, I'll make this point. There may be an issue on the budget bill which is not of concern to a majority of the elected members of this Body so some will just refrain from voting not due to opposition and they will support the bill when that amendment is attached. But they do not have enough interest or feel they lack enough knowledge to vote for the inclusion of that amendment. However, they would not cast a no vote because they're not opposed to it. So, I think we ought to leave it as it is with a majority of those present and voting, being able to put an amendment to any bill on General File.

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: I'll be very brief but I'm trying hard to support the concept of this proposition. However, I can't support the amended version because you're restricting it to the Budget Committee only and we have other appropriation bills on the board that would go free. And I don't think that's a consistent attitude. The Appropriations Committee is not the only one that appropriates money. There are other committees and amendments on this floor that appropriother committees and amendments on this floor that appropriate money. Another thing I might say and that's speaking on the amendment, another thing that bothers me a little bit is all bills cost some money. I just wonder just exactly how we're going to define, and I favor this if you can work it out, how you're going to define exactly what appropriating money is. Because I don't know how much it takes a bill.... how much money it takes a bill....to run a bill across that board. Now, for instance, I'll give you an example, what I'm thinking about. A constitutional amendment at present day prices will cost about \$15,000, yet you do not appropriate it on the bill itself but everyone understands that and the Budget Committee then must appropriate the money to cover the Constitutional Amendments to go on the ballot. I think we should, we need some clarification is what I'm getting at. I'm in favor of the general idea of spending money that we should have a majority of the elected members vote. Is as I say there are other bills, and it has to be defined better, there are other bills that are appropriated money that do not come out of Budget Committee. And I think we should, as I say, I'm repeating, have a consistent attitude of what is appropriation bill.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak, Senator Nore. Actually, we're speaking only on the amendment which relates to the rules change and it would just apply to the appropriation bills and that's the amendment we're only speaking about.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President and members, I support this. However, I would like to see it 30 but I'll compromise on 25. Now, I want you to know we do practice this in the Budget Committee. We have to have five votes out of the nine over there before we pass anything out on this floor. And I wonder how many committees use that, that good rule. That's Senator Marvel's rule and I think it's a good one. We have to have five...we have to have a majority of the committee which is five out of nine before we pass anything out here. I understand some committees, I'm not sure, probably there's four there and three vote for it. It goes out, it comes out here on the floor. I think it's probably a good idea if some